



### Informing Infrastructure Decisions through Large-Amplitude Forced Vibration Testing

Nenad Gucunski, Franklin Moon, John DeVitis and Sharef Farrag Civil and Environmental Engineering Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation (CAIT)

Brady Cox and Farnyuh Menq – NHERI, The University of Texas at Austin

NHERI@UTexas Structural Testing Workshop New Brunswick, NJ, August 3, 2017



### Outline

- NSF EAGER Project Objectives and general scope of activities
- Foundation dynamics and dynamic soil-foundation-structure interaction (DSFSI)
- Numerical simulation of the dynamic response of a bridgesoil system
- Field implementation using UTA NHERI mobile shakers

# Informing Infrastructure Decisions through Large-Amplitude Forced Vibration Testing

### Motivation - Civil Infrastructure Evaluation

#### Aging Infrastructures

- Need for the development of reliable safety assessment approaches
- Structural-Identification (St-Id) has evolved over the past few decades as a result of:
  - Adoption of sensing technologies (global and local)
  - Development of highly refined simulation models
  - Development of model calibration techniques (both deterministic and probabilistic)

### Motivation - Civil Infrastructure Evaluation

#### Current St-Id for Structure-Foundation Systems

- Based largely on the response data using various inputs (static loading, wind, temperature changes, pullrelease, impact, shakers, etc.)
- Those low-level demand inputs are leading to responses similar to operational limit states =>the use of such responses to inform the safety assessment of systems under extreme events requires significant extrapolation

### Motivation - Civil Infrastructure Evaluation



=> Large-amplitude mobile shakers offer significant potential to improve the reliability of St-Id by overcoming low-level mechanisms in a controlled manner

### NSF EAGER (Early-concept Grants for Exploratory Research) Project

#### Overarching Aim

 To explore and establish the ability of large-amplitude, forced vibration testing to reveal the current performance and forecast the future system performance of structures, with the consideration of dynamic soil-foundation-structure effects.

### NSF EAGER (Early-concept Grants for Exploratory Research) Project

#### More Focused Objectives

- Develop, evaluate, and refine a series of:
  - 1. Forced vibration testing and control strategies to capture response measurements indicative of key performance attributes of substructure/foundation and superstructure systems
  - 2. Data interpretation frameworks for structural system identification and assessment
- Perform a validation of the testing/control strategies and data interpretation frameworks on an operating structure with known substructure, foundation, and soil characteristics.

### **Research Plan**

#### • Development of Forced-Vibration Testing Strategies

 Parametric study to examine the correlation between certain measurable responses (both foundation and superstructure) and the foundation/substructure type/condition

#### • Development of Data Interpretation Frameworks

- Model-Free Frameworks Methods based primarily on data processing, data visualization, and data fitting techniques
- Model-based Frameworks Methods that update simulation models of the system being identified, and then employ these to examine behaviors that cannot be directly observed (St-Id)

### **Research Plan**

- Field Implementation and Validation
  - Field implementation of the most promising testing strategies and data interpretation frameworks
  - Since the deployment of large shakers is the easiest to accomplish on bridges (A bridge in Hamilton, NJ was selected as the implementation structure)
  - To be carried out with a UTA NHERI shaker (T-Rex) and dense instrumentation arrays

### **Envisioned Field Implementation**



# Foundation Dynamics and Dynamic Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction (DSFSI)

### Objective of Dynamic Soil-Foundation-Structure (DSFS) Interaction Analysis

The fundamental objective of soilfoundation-structure interaction analysis is to evaluate the dynamic response by encompassing the radiation of energy of the waves propagating into the soil.



## Effects of Soil and DSFSI on the Response

- Site amplification of ground motion (earthquake loading).
- Soil flexibility will effect the flexibility of the overall SFS (Soil-Foundation-Structure) system and, thus, reduce the fundamental frequency. (In comparison to a structure founded on rock.)
- Radiation of energy through soil will lead to a significantly higher damping. (Exceptions are shallow soil layers.)
- DSFSI (Dynamic SFS Interaction) increases as soil becomes softer, and the structure becomes more rigid. And vice versa.
- Generally, DSFSI gives smaller response amplitudes under earthquake and other dynamic loads than modeling on a rigid base. Displacements at the top of a structure may be larger due to rocking of the structure (foundation).

### Modeling of DSFSI Problems - Direct and Substructure Methods



(after Wolf, 1985)

### Foundation Dynamics Problem – Impedance Functions



### Response of Foundations to Vertical Loading

$$K_{v} = K_{vs}(k + ia_0c)$$

- vertical impedance

 $K_{vs}$  - static stiffness

- *k* stiffness impedance coefficient
- c damping impedance coefficient
- $a_0$  dimensionless frequency ( $\omega R/V_s$ )

Impedances are functions of frequency!

### Factors Affecting Dynamic Response of Foundations

- Soil properties (primarily shear modulus, damping and Poisson's ratio)
- Geometry (shape) of the foundation
- Depth of embedment of the foundation
- Presence of a rigid base
- Mode of vibrations (translation or rotation)
- Dynamics and frequency of loading
- Foundation flexibility (stiffness)

### Modes of Vibrations



### Static Stiffness for a Rigid Circular Footing on an Elastic Half-Space

Mode	Vertical	Horizontal	Rocking	Torsion
Stiffness:	4GR	8GR	8 <i>GR</i> <sup>3</sup>	16 <i>GR</i> <sup>3</sup>
	$\overline{1-\nu}$	$2-\nu$	$\overline{3(1-\nu)}$	3

(from Gazetas, 1983)

### Impedance Coefficients for a Circular Footing on an Elastic Half-Space - Vertical



(Luco and Westman 1971, from Gazetas 1983)

### Damping Ratio for Surface Foundations



### Some Elements Affecting Foundation Impedance Functions

- Embedment significantly increases the dynamic stiffness and equivalent damping ratio. => An effective way to reduce the anticipated high amplitudes of vibrations.
- For a foundation on a stratum, static stiffness in all modes increases (more for translational than rotational modes) with the relative radius to depth to bedrock ratio R/H.
- Impedance coefficients have undulations (instead of smooth functions for H-S) associated with natural frequencies of the stratum.
- Below the first resonant frequency of each mode of vibration, c is zero or negligible. (No surface waves to radiate energy, while bedrock prevents "vertical" radiation.) Vertical and sliding modes affected more.
- Foundation flexibility affects soil reaction and displacement distributions, and impedance coefficients.

#### Impedance Coefficients of a Rigid Circular Foundation on a Stratum - Vertical



(after Kausel and Ushijima, 1979)

#### Effect of Foundation Flexibility Expressed Through Stiffness Ratio on Soil Reaction Distribution



#### Effect of Stiffness Ratio on Displacement Distribution



#### Effect of Stiffness Ratio on Impedance Functions



# Numerical Model and Parametric Study of Dynamic Response of Bridge-Soil Systems

## Parametric Study - 2D Model of Hypothetical Bridge



#### Foundation



#### Variables

V<sub>s</sub>: Soil S-Wave Velocity; 200-400 m/s

R: Footing Radius; 2-4 m

H<sub>c</sub>: Column Height; 3-12 m

#### Constants

W<sub>f</sub>: Footing Width; 1.3m

T<sub>f</sub>: Footing Thickness; 0.5 m

W<sub>c</sub>: Column Width; 0.5 m

T<sub>s</sub>: Slab Thickness; 0.2 m

F<sub>s</sub>: Half Column Spacing, 3 m

 $\rho_s$ : Soil Density; 1900 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

v : Poisson's ratio; 0.333



### Bridge Swaying Response Under Horizontal Harmonic Loading



### Sample Displacement Time Histories - Deck



### Sample Displacement Time Histories - Foundation



### Sample Loading Spectrum



#### Sample Horizontal Displacement, Velocity and Acceleration



#### Sample Foundation Vertical Displacement and Rotation Response Spectra



### Fundamental Swaying Frequency Vs. Pier Height Rigid Base



### Fundamental Swaying Frequency Vs. Pier Height Flexible Base (R=2 m, $V_s$ =200 m/s)



#### Fundamental Swaying Frequency Vs. Slenderness Ratio



### Finite Element Model of Hobson Avenue Bridge



### Fundamental Swaying Mode for Rigid Base



### Finite Element Model of Hobson Avenue Bridge



## Fundamental Swaying Mode for Flexible Base



# Field Implementation Using UTA NHERI Mobile Shakers

### Field Implementation and Validation

#### • Objectives :

- To measure the response of all components: ground, foundation, substructure and superstructure in both vertical and horizontal directions, to infer the contributions of soilfoundation-substructure interaction on the overall response of the superstructure.
- To enable assessment of transmissibility (motion transfer) and force transfer between superstructure and foundation, and from the foundation to surrounding soil.
- To enable assessment of foundation impedance functions for both vertical and rocking motion.
- The results will be compared against the models within the parametric study to place the field test in context
- Secondary objective: The results obtained from the bridge excitation using a NHERI shaker (vertical mode only) and THMPER will be compared









## MASW (Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves) Testing



## MASW (Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves) Testing



## Swaying Test – Center Pier



- T-Rex position
- Deck Triaxial Accelerometers
- Substructure and Ground Triaxial Geophones
- Deck Triaxial Geophones

### Swaying Test – Middle of South Span



- T-Rex position
- Deck Triaxial Accelerometers
- Substructure and Ground Triaxial Geophones
- Deck Triaxial Geophones

### Swaying Test – South Abutment



- T-Rex position
- Deck Triaxial Accelerometers
- Substructure and Ground Triaxial Geophones
- Deck Triaxial Geophones

## T-Rex Mobile Shaker



## T-Rex in Position for Testing





### T-Rex and THMPER



### Geophones and Accelerometers on Bridge Deck



### **Central Pier**



## Ground Triaxial Geophone Array



### What Are the Questions We Are Trying to Answer?

- Can we infer the contributions of soil-foundationsubstructure interaction on the overall response of the superstructure?
- Can we develop data interpretation frameworks for structural system identification and assessment that will take into consideration DSFSI?
- Can large-amplitude, forced vibration testing using NHERI shakers (in a fully controlled manner) reveal the performance of structures beyond operational limit states? Are we entering a nonlinear range?

## Acknowledgements

- NSF EAGER Project support through Award Id. 1650170 (Program officer: Dr. Richard J. Fragaszy)
- NSF NHERI (Natural Hazards Engineering Research Infrastructure) – Provided access to UTA NHERI mobile shakers
- NJDOT Support in bridge selection, and providing documentation and access to Hobson Avenue Bridge (Mr. Eddy Germain)
- UTA NHERI team

# Thank You